

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/868,667	09/04/2001	Eric Jeffrey Lannert	05222.00179	2990	
29638	7590 11/29/2004	EXAMINER			
BANNER & WITCOFF AND ATTORNEYS FOR ACCENTURE			STARKS, WILBERT L		
	VACKER DRIVE, 30TH FLOOR AGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2121		
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	La via Na				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/868,667	LANNERT ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.	2121			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da		•			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	later than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding am f the shortened statutory period for repl fice later than three months after the ma	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ——	separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Second		sidered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•			
10. Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Wilbert L. Starks, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2121 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's "learning objectives" by themselves are not "concrete" or "tangible." They are goals for human learning...human thought. E.g., the goals could be purely mathematical, that is, goals for learning mathematical equations or principles. Such things are per se nonstatutory, even of you say someone will learn them.

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit - 2121